App. F. IX-4

Previous References Med 85-258 1-6.

1934. (Medical

File No. 91-29

Serial Nos. 1-9.

Later References. Med. 279-35, Als. 1-

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 9

Part B for Feby 35

SUBJECT.

Contributions payable by the local bodies towards the maintenance of the Local Fund Dispensaries.

8/9.

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

To G-7135-52 28. 1. 35

The Senior Surgeon Fmcl Secretariat
The Comptroller through
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
The President District Board through the Deputy Commissioners
Districts that the existing procedure regarding the recovery of Contributions from Local Bodies towards the cost of the up-keep of Medical Institutions within their Jurisdiction which has been in vogue for the past 10 years be continued for some more time subject to certain conditions

Statement of Promises made at the Budget Session of the R. A. June 1929.

899

(1

L. S. G. BRANCH.

Fin 1338

18

Med 91-29-1

1) Mr. Anekal Srinivasa Iyengar brought to notice that in page 52, Medical contribution, under the Local Fund Dispensaries, fluctuating small items were being provided, that much inconvenience was caused to the local bodies who had no means of knowing in advance what to provide in their budget and that instead a lumpsum provision based upon the average on past figures might be adopted.

GEN. & REV. BRANCH

L. S. G. BRANCH.

Statement of Promises made at the Budget Session of the R. A. June 1929

19

2) The Third Member of Council replied that this suggestion was under the consideration of the Senior Surgeon who was developing it further and that Government were going to do it by fixing for a period, subject to revision, the contribution to be paid by each local body annually.

3) Further instruction appears necessary in this Branch

4) Medical Section may be requested to notify any action taken in the matter

23/8

GENERAL MISCELLANEOUS BRANCH
27 AUG. 19..

Serial No.

1) Submitted. A sum of Rs 2,25,000/- has been provided in the Budget being the contribution from Local Bodies towards L.B. Dispensaries. It is not clear what exactly is wanted:

If appd the DC Bombay will be consulted or the S.S addressed on the matter

18/9. D

7) May be forwarded to the S.S. for reporting action proposed to be taken in the matter

A.A. 17/9

8) Sent with a draft

18/9 D

9) Issued 19/9

2. To Senior Surgeon

No 9. 3840 Dt 19-9-29

Forwarded copy of the report of Asst Surgeon Alibag & calls for action proposed to be taken in the matter

A

Govt A MISCELLANEOUS 24 SEP. 1929

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

4.11.29 (M) GENERAL Gn 335 (3)

No: 2.

(2) Mr. Anekal Srinivasa Iyengar brought to notice that in page 52, Medical contribution, under the Local Fund - Dispensaries, fluctuating small items were being provided, that much inconvenience was caused to the local bodies who had no means of knowing in advance what to provide in their budget and that instead a lumpsum provision based upon the average on past figures might be adopted.

The Third Member of Council replied that this - suggestion was under the consideration of the Senior Surgeon ~~that he~~ who was developing it further and that Government were going to do it by fixing for a period, subject to revision, the contribution to be paid by each local body annually.

U.O. 2116/29 13/8/29 Forwarded with compliments to

M/ Senior Surgeon for favour of remarks

By order

Asst. Sec. to Govt.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD Q1684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

G.O. 369 2.5.30.

(12) The present procedure is that, as regards fixed charges, comprising of charge allowance to Medical Officers, establishment, house-rent, fixed contingencies, travelling allowances, etc., the Senior Surgeon intimates to Comptroller soon after the State Budget Estimates are sanctioned, the amount recoverable from each local body, as provided for, in the State Budget. A demand is then made by the Comptroller on the local body, which issues a crossed cheque for the amount for credit to the Medical Department. As observed by Mr. A. Sreenivasaiengar, the procedure entails much inconvenience to the local bodies. Fluctuations necessarily occur from year to year on account of minor items such as Travelling Allowances, rewards to Midwives, etc. In these circumstances, the provision made by the local bodies to meet the annual contributions may fall short of the demand made on them. If, instead, the contribution were a fixed sum dependin on the class or type of dispensary, the procedure of recovery of contributions might be simplified a great deal. There will then be no need to calculate the cost of each dispensary from year to year, with the incidental risk of errors resulting in excess credits as happened in one or two cases recently. But such reduction of scriptoral work and correspondence is of course of secondary importance. The prime consideration is that the procedure standardises the recoveries and gives a freer hand to the Department, within the limits of the contributions recovered, of uniformly regulating the fixed contingencies, pay of the

the menial staff, etc., which now vary for each place. The local bodies on the other hand will be saved the inconvenience arising from the uncertainty as to the amounts that they should provide in their budgets annually.

13) 2. I have had the actual expenditure on each dispensary tabulated for each of the past five years and the enclosed statement shows the average arrived at in respect of each dispensary. Very few dispensaries have a contribution under Rs.1,000, while most fall into a range between Rs.1,300 and 2000. I am of opinion that, basing on the averages, the contribution may be fixed as follows:-

(a) Regular Local Fund Dispensaries in Taluk Municipal or non-Municipal areas. ... Rs.1,400/-

(b) Reduced-scale dispensaries ... Rs.1,000/-

14) 3. The several female dispensaries and Maternities, special dispensaries, P.W.D., Jail, Military, Leper-out-patient Dispensaries, etc., and Malnad Improvement Dispensaries are wholly excluded from this scheme as they form each an independent unit by itself. Contributions, if any, in these cases, may,as heretofore, be recovered by the Comptroller under advice by this Department.

15) 4. When a dispensary of the reduced scale outgrows its present limits, the question of its conversion into a regular dispensary may be considered by the Senior Surgeon and the contribution raised with the sanction of Government.

5. It would facilitate matters further, if after fixing the contributions as above, the

the District Boards be required to credit the entire contribution to Government themselves in the first instance and make their own arrangements to recover the quotas payable by the Municipalities or other local boards concerned. In the case of exclusively Municipal Dispensaries the contribution will be paid by the Municipality concerned.

17) No doubt a uniform fixed contribution of this kind may entail that the District Board will have to pay more for a particular dispensary than they do at present. But this will be compensated by other dispensaries in the District for which they would be paying less than now, so that on the whole the position will remain more or less unaltered.

18) 6. I would request early orders.

S. Subba Rao.
Senior Surgeon.

2/5/30

Statement showing the average recoverable expenditure on each Local Fund Dispensary in the State based on the actual expenditure during the past five years.

No.	Name of Dispensary.	Cost.
		Rs.
1. Bangalore District.		
1.	Channapatna.	1770
2.	Doddaballapur.	1734
3.	Sarjapur	1680
4.	Hosakote	1590
5.	Basavangudi	1551
6.	Ganigarpet	1539
7.	Malleswaram	1527
8.	Kankanhalli	1524
9.	Aralepet	1439
10.	Devanahalli	1404
11.	Yelahanka	1359
12.	Balepet	1359
14.	Chamarajpet	1329
14.	Malavalli	1323
15.	Varthur	1296
16.	Magadi	1284
17.	Anekal	1284
18.	Solur	1224
19.	Vadigenahalli	1209
20.	Closepet	1208
21.	Thyamagundlu	1134
22.	Nelamangala	1122
	Total.	Rs.30,889.
		1404
2. Kolar District.		
1.	Srinivasapur	1413
2.	Patapalya	1297
3.	Goribidnur	1248
4.	Malur	1261
5.	Gudibanda	1244
6.	Devarayasamudra	1240
7.	Bagepalli	1210
8.	Mulabagal	1201
9.	Siddalagatta	1197
10.	Bowringpet	1174
11.	Chickballapur	1077
12.	Chintamani	795
	Total.	Rs.14,357
		2016
		16 32
3. Tumkur District.		
1.	Pavagada	1263
2.	Sira	1185
3.	Madhugiri	1167
4.	Tiptur	1166
5.	Kunigal	1140
6.	Chicknaygànahalli	1123
7.	Koratagere	1118
8.	Honnudige	1110
9.	Amrithur	1110
10.	Kadaba	1110
11.	Mayasandra	1110
12.	Hosakote	1110
2.-		
13.	Hagalwadi	1110
14.	Gubbi	1084
15.	Huliyur	1069
16.	Honnavalli	1056
17.	Huliyurdurga	1054
18.	Nonvinakere	1039
19.	Thurvekere	1026.
	Total.	Rs.21,149.
4. Mysore District.		
1.	Bedguli.	2029
2.	Nisana	1776
3.	Heggadadevankote	1740
4.	Hunsur	1632
5.	Periyapatna	1576
6.	Bettadapura	1512
7.	Chamarajnagar	1458
8.	Hampapur	1440
9.	Akkihebbal	1398
10.	Yedatore	1386
11.	Saragur	1374
12.	Gundlupet	1338
13.	Malavalli	1338
14.	Nelamangala	1248
15.	Bellur	1242
16.	Hura	1230
17.	Saligram	1218
18.	Thalakadu	1218
19.	Arakere	1194
20.	Seringapatam	1194
21.	French Rocks	1194
22.	T.Narasipur	1182
23.	Krishnarajpet	1158
24.	Ravandur	1158
25.	Bannur	1098
26.	Nanjangud	1086
27.	Maddur	1086
28.	Kagalvadi	1074
29.	Mandya	1052
30.	OldAgrahar	978
31.	Erangere	978
32.	Melkote	748
33.	Weavers Lines	678
34.	Nazarbad	660
	Total.	Rs.42,671.
		1058
5. Hassan District.		
1.	Sakalespur	1484
2.	Belur	1473
3.	Alur	1425

No.	Name of Dispensary.	Cost.
5. Hassan District.		
4.	Royarakoppal	1406
5.	Nagenahalli	1395
6.	Eslurpet	1368
7.	Belagodu	1364
8.	Hanabal	1359
9.	Sravanabelagola	1350
10.	Kundur	1344
11.	Sukravarasanthe	1326
12.	Arakalgud	1257
13.	Arenahalli	1229
14.	Channarayapatna	1212
15.	Arasikere	1210
16.	Konanur	1173
17.	Banavar	1168
18.	Holenarasipur	1167
19.	Halkur	1113
20.	Hiresave	445
	Total.	Rs.25,268.
		10,933
		36,201
6. Kadur District.		
1.	Koppa	3533
2.	Kalasa	2550
3.	Ajjampur	2199
4.	Narasimharajpur	2057
5.	Kadur	1729
6.	Mudagere	1686
7.	Sollebyle	1542
8.	Tarikere	1512
9.	Sringeri	1410
10.	Hariharpur	1272
11.	Birur	1269
12.	Sakrepatna	993
13.	Javali	& 900
	Total.	Rs.22,652.
7. Shimoga District.		
1.	Shiralkoppa	2004
2.	Channagiri	1920
3.	Thirthahalli	1734
4.	Sorab	1716
5.	Bhadravathi	1701
6.	Ananthapur	1677
7.	Megarvalli	1665
8.	Sagar	1650
9.	Santhebennur	1500
10.	Shikarpur	1491
11.	Hosanagar	1488
12.	Anavathi	1458
13.	Humchamakatte	1440
14.	Mandag adde	1428
15.	Kumsi	1383
16.	Honnali	1365
17.	Nagar	1353
18.	Nyamathi	1221
	Total.	Rs.28,194.
		976

No.	Name of dispensary	Cost.
8. Chitaldrug District.		
1.	Hiriyur	1755
2.	Molakalmuru	1449
3.	Challakere	1377
4.	Baramasagara	1308
5.	Jagalur	1305
6.	Holalkere	1272
7.	Hosadurga	1179
8.	Malebannur	1170
9.	Nayakanahtatti	1089
10.	Harihar	987
11.	Davangere	894
	Total.	Rs.13,785.
		2,894
		1680

Abstract.:-

1.	Bangalore District.	Rs.30,889.
2.	Kolar District	Rs.14,357.
3.	Tumkur District	Rs.21,149.
4.	Mysore District	Rs.42,671.
5.	Hassan District	Rs.25,268.
6.	Kadur District	Rs.22,652.
7.	Shimoga District	Rs.28,194.
8.	Chitaldrug District	Rs.13,785.
	Grand Total.	Rs.198,965.

H. Narayandaiya
For Senior Surgeon
(absent from Station.)

2.5.30.

ries on reduced scale and the

Enclosure to this office ributed by local bodies.

am. G.C. 369-1 Dated 2-8-30.

		Cost.	Remarks.
		1272	
		993	
		970	
		969	
		969	
		967-8-0	
		949	
8.		943	
9.	Ponnathapura	950	
10.	Kesagodu	968	
11.	Gandehalli	983	
	Total.	10933-8-0	

Chitaldrug

12.	L.F. Dispensary - Thuravanoor	981	
13.	Parasarampur	957	
14.	Rampura	957	
	Total.	2895	

Kolar District.

15.	L.F. Dispensary - Hossur	1038	
16.	Narasapura	978.	
	Total.	2016	

Shimoga District.

17.	L.F. Dispensary - Karur	976	
	Total.	976	

Mysore District.

18.	L.F. Dispensary - Bilakere	1038	
	Total.	1038	
	Grand Total.	17858-8-0	Average Rs.992/-

H. Narjundaiya
for Senior Surgeon

(10)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

9) Subd with the opinion of the Senior Surgeon. The suggestion to levy a lumpsum Contribution from Local Bodies in respect of 47 dispensaries is a matter which concerns local bodies primarily who will have to be consulted in the first instance. If they agree to the payment of a lumpsum Contribution ~~the~~ in lieu of the actual expe in respect of certain items the financial aspect of it in so far as it relates to the State Budget will have to be considered by the Financial Deptt with reference to the total Contributions that is now being received and that proposed to be fixed for future recovery. Subd for orders if the Dt Bds & ml Councils may be consulted.

K.P.O.
5/5/30

10) Please mark the reduced scale dispensaries in the statement, and state the sanctioned cost for each such dispensary.

with state. Reduction of Sheriniya & is advised to otin Distn.

dd
5/5

11) Kind reference is invited to the statement ~~Flag~~ Part-up. (Flag 'P') sent with a Separate Statement subsequently received

Sd
12/5/30

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

1967 V 2546

11

150

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

22) So far as the regular L.F. dispensaries are concerned, the average contribution proposed by the S.S. will ~~press~~ materially help Kadur and Shimoga and be adverse to the other districts. It is a point for consideration, whether the actual contribution due from each Distt Board should not be indicated in the budget. This should include the cost on account of reduced scale expenditure also. The total due from each Distt. is noted in the statement furnished by the S.S. This may be the starting point and the figure may undergo suitable alteration every year according to the actual number of dispensaries expected to be working during the succeeding year. If this is done, the details given on page 52 in regard to contributions ~~may~~ may be omitted.

23) The next point for consideration is whether the Distt. Boards may be asked to credit the entire amt to Govt. and to make their own arrangements to recover the quota from the Municipalities. Perhaps the D. Bs may have to be consulted on this point.

24) Finl: Secy has to be consulted.

25) (Chief Secy) 12/5

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE
13 MAY 1930

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

(450)

335 (2590)

(12

25-9-0 App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

26) The proposals of S.S. may be referred to the Dt Boards for an expression of their views

27) Dhera Member
Second Member
Mrs Quarterly
& Treasury
28) [illegible] 14.5.30

Rev 04/5

Je 4291
Dg 14/5/30

14284
14/5

29) I have no objection to the views of the local bodies being ascertained.

30) The lumpsum contribution arrangement has its advantages as well as drawbacks. The Secretariat proposals may, if agreed to by the local bodies be tried as a tentative measure for a period of two or 3 years in the first instance.

31) There is one point which has not been taken into account in the S.S. calculations. The pensionary charges of the establishment of L. & Dispensaries now borne by the local bodies will, under the new arrangement have to be met from General Revenues. I would therefore add some amount to the figure representing the S.S. towards this item.

11738
31/5

One eighth of the cost of the est. L

yes

32) The local bodies may be consulted on the lines proposed

L 28/5/30
A

Ke
4/6/30

PS 30/5/30

Mr 2/6/30

Note—Contn. Sheet

5-3-0
30/5

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

480

2590.

Tank

(13)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. File No. 91 of 1929

Serial No.

33) Drafts is Subm for appl.
Jms KD 2/6

34) Issue KD 2/6

3. To Senior Surgeon.
G. 16184 95-6.30
States that the cost of establishment of the L. H. dispensaries will have to be increased as under the new arrangement the pensionary charges of the establishment will have to be met from the Local Revenues and requests that the final recommendation may be forwarded in consultation with the local bodies concerned.

Subm for Jms KD

From the Senior Surgeon
Letter No C 2093-6 dated 6th May 1931
States that the Presidents of DT Boards have been addressed in the matter and that, to facilitate the consideration of the question, it is proposed to convene a meeting of the Presidents of the several DT Boards on the occasion of the forthcoming Birthday festivities.

35) Submd for perusal.
The L. G. Branch may be consulted if they have any information in regard to the meeting of the DT Board Presidents that is referred to by the Senior Surgeon

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

BRI 12/5/1931

36) No. The Presidents will

14)

4006

will be there & the S-S. proposes to speak to them

His further ref: may be awaited after the R.A. session in June.

AdA
12/5

Sec. A

Rec 13/5/31

ARCHIVES
CENTRE
13 MAI

131/3188

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Med File No. 91 of 1929.

Serial No.	
	From the Senior Surgeon Letter No C 2093-11 dated 6-7-1931 States that the views of certain District Boards are still awaited and that a final report will be subd to Govt, on receipt of replies from the several District Boards.
	37) Subd for perusal, with the file. BSN 10.7.1931 MR. DA 10/7
	38) Subd as per orders on the 3rd hist. MR 8/8 39) Has to remain in Jail. DA 8/8/31
6	From the Senior Surgeon Letter No C 2093-25 dated 5-1-1932 Forwards the resolutions received from the Presidents of the District Boards and recommends, for reasons stated, that the scheme may be introduced tentatively for 3 yrs, subject to the condition that if any of the DB Boards find it unsatisfactory in any way, it will be open to them to revert to the existing procedure.
	40) Subd, for orders, with refce to the 2nd Members note dated 2-6-1930 at Para 3. BSN MR (4) 19.1.32

Page 12

Note—Contn. Sheet

Hus A 10/7/31 GENERAL BRANCH

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

41) Submitted for orders

19.1.32

42) Genl: Secy)

43) Hindi See me
RR
26/1/32

44) Please put up a SR. as follows:—

Name of Cadre body	Annual amount now paid (Rs.)	Proposed Rs. S.S. scale	Item No. Genl Budget

45) Draft to S.S. (The proposed cost shd: include Pens: Contribution)
RR
21.1.32.
RR
21/1/32

GENERAL SECRETARY
20 JAN. 1932
No. 5055

TYPING SECTION
23 JAN 1937
GENL

46) Draft submd.
MR
22-1-32

47) Issue
22.1.32

7

No. G. 6108 Dt. 28/1/32

To The Senior Surgeon in Mysore.

Requests to furnish as soon as possible a statement in the form appended, about the lumpsum contributions payable by the Local Bodies towards the maintenance of Local Fund Dispensaries.

Gen
28/1/32

GENERAL
28 JAN

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

3188
S 497

17) Lumpsum contributions payable by Local Bodies towards the maintenance of L.F. Dispensaries

Med File No. 91 of 1931. General and Revenue Secretariat

From the Sr Surgeon
Letter No C 2093-99 dated 16.5.1932
Forwards Receipts for a statement embodying the information called for.

48) Subd with refce to paras 32, 44 & 45 Pages 12 & 13

Pam 19-5-32

49) Some more information regarding the payment now made & proposed is promised by the Manager of the S.F.S. office. Please await for a week.

23/5/32

Statement in the revised form was received on 16/6

Pm 16/6

50) Subd with the revised statement recd from the office of the Sr Surgeon.

Pam 22/6/32

5-1

A statement received in the form mentioned at parag. 44 is put up.

52) The Senior Surgeon's recommendations made in paras: 13 and 16 of the notes are:-

(1) In the case of regular L.F. Dispensaries, a ~~Contn~~ uniform Contribution of Rs 1400 ~~and~~ for each Dispensary L and in the case of reduced scale Disp:

under fixed Charges (Art: 252 M.M.C.

Serial No.

a contribution of Rs 1000 should be levied &

(2) the District Boards should pay this contribution in the 1st instance making their own arrangements to collect the contributions from the Municipalities.

53 The S.S. was thereupon requested to consult the local bodies concerned on his proposals providing also for the pensionary contribution on the staff.

54 While the Dist: Boards were consulted, there is nothing to show that the Municipalities were also consulted.

55 So far as the Dist: Boards are concerned, the Mysore Dist: Board have accepted the scheme in its entirety.

56 The Bangalore Dist: Board have also signified their assent on the understanding that no further liability is imposed on them. So far as the maintenance of a L.D. dispensary goes, there does not appear to be any further liability. Hence, it may be presumed that the D.B. have agreed.

57 In regard to Tumkur, the President is agreeable to a standardized ~~pay~~ contribution but he wants it to be reduced to Rs 1300 per disp: against Rs 1400 proposed by the S.S. It may perhaps be inferred that the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

the D.B. ~~the~~ are agreeable to the second suggestion of the S.S.

In regard to the Contribution, — the present average contribution together with the proposed pensionary charges amount to roughly Rs 24,000 in the Tumkur Dist. This amount distributed among 20 dispensaries gives an average of Rs 1200 per dispensary. Hence, the figure proposed by the D.B., viz., Rs 1,300 may be accepted.

The Shimoga D.B is agreeable to the payment of Rs 1400, provided that the quota of the Municipalities is recovered direct by the Comptroller.

The Kolar D.B. wants the Contribution to be fixed at Rs 1000 per dispensary. This does not appear to be reasonable. The contribution at present received excluding the pensionary charges, works out on an average to Rs 14,890 for 12 dispensaries or Rs 1240 per dispensary, & the pensionary Contribution comes to about Rs 75 on an average, making a total of Rs 1315 for each L.D. dispensary. This aspect of the question & the advantages of the proposed scheme of fixed contribution may perhaps be explained to the D.B & they be asked to agree to a reasonable payment of about Rs 1325 or Rs 1350 per dispensary. There may be no difficulty about their agreeing to pay Rs 1000 for the reduced scale disp:, which they are now paying.

It is since ascertained that they are agreeable to pay at Rs 1,400 provided that the Mul: quota is recovered from the Municipalities concerned direct by the Comptr. A.S. (A commn. abt. this is expected).

Serial No.

61 The Distt: Boards of Kadur, Hassan & Chitaldrug are not in favor of the proposed scheme.

62 Kadur Distt:- This Distt: has at present 13 L.T. dispensaries and the average contribution recovered on each is Rs 12,860. or Rs 990. If pensionary contribution is also levied, the total contribution will not exceed Rs 1050 on the existing scale. It is possible, on the precise advantages of the scheme being placed before them, that they may agree to a scale of Rs 1,100 per ~~disp~~ L.T. dispensary.

63 Hassan Distt:- Evidently, the opinion of the D.B. is based on a misapprehension. They fear that they will not have the option of converting the L.T. dispensaries into reduced scale dispensaries if such a step shd be considered necy: at any time. They also fear that the exp: on each disp: may go up & that they will be called upon to pay more.

64 There are at present 20 L.T. dispensaries and 8 reduced scale disp: in the District. ~~Disp boards Distt. Sch~~ The local bodies pay an average contribution of Rs 23,000 on the L.T. dispensaries, which works out at Rs 1150 per dispensary. To this, pens: contribution is added, the average will be Rs 1226 per dispensary. Perhaps they agree to a fixed scale of Rs 1250 if they are ~~assured that they have the option~~ disabused of the apprehensions they

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

seem to be labouring under on the two points mentioned above. They may also agree to the scale for reduced scale disp: as the addl: exp: is also only Rs 22 on each

Chilahung Distt: There are 11 L.D. dispensaries & 4 reduced scale dispensaries in the Distt: The average contribution recovered for L.D. dispensaries at present is Rs 11,040, or Rs 1,004 roughly for each disp: of pers: contribution is added. The contribution would amount to Rs 1081 per dispensary. Perhaps they may agree if Rs 1,100 is fixed for each dispensary. 1078

In regard to reduced scale disp:- according to the scale given by the S.S., the D.B. will have to pay Rs 917 for each of the dispensaries. They may therefore not be able to pay Rs 1000 as proposed by the S.S. The Contribution may perhaps be reduced to Rs 950, if not to Rs 925. The total exp: for the local bodies in accordance with these proposals would be — (1100 × 11 + 950 × 4) = Rs 15,900 against Rs 19,400 according to the S. de proposals. This amount will be about Rs 400 more than that indicated in the statement rec'd from the S.S.

5 In the result, contributions may be recovered from the local bodies on the following scale for each Distt: (only under fixed charges, the variable charges

Serial No.

being paid by the local bodies as heretofore):-

1.	Bangalore	1400 × 15 =	21,000
		1000 × 2 =	2,000
2.	Mysore	1400 × 30 =	42,000
		1000 × 1	1000
3.	Tumkur	1300 × 20 =	26,000.
4.	Shimoga	14000 × 18 =	25,200.
5	Kolar	~~1300~~ 1400. × 12 =	16,800
		1000 × 3	3000.
6.	Kadur	1100 × 13 =	14,300.
7.	Hassan.	1250 × 20 =	25,000
		1000 × 8	8000.
8.	Chitaldrug.	1100 × 11 =	12100
		925 × 4	3700.

(14×13 / 18200)

Total Rs 2,00,100.

66 This amount does not include the contributions recoverable in respect of dispensaries in the two cities, female dispensaries and Maternities — ~~for~~ which arrangement is not proposed to be interfered with on the present occasion.

67 Before ~~definite~~ orders are passed it may be necessary to call for definite information on the following points:-

(1) whether the Municipalities concerned are agreeable to the arrangements proposed by the S.S. ~~under which they will have to pay for~~

(2) whether the quota payable by the D.Bs & Municipalities may not be fixed ~~separately~~ jointly at 2/3 and 1/3 respectively & whether the local bodies are agreeable; and

(3) whether it is meant that the Comptroller shd. recover the contributions in advance from the ~~local~~ Distt. Boards & Municipalities separately, according to the share respectively payable by them

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

(3) 5497

Contributions payable by Local Bodies towards the cost of maintenance of Local Fund Dispensaries

Med File No. 91 of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

A/ Perhaps the L.S. may be consulted A.G.O. on the points raised ~~above~~ in this note.

1.7.32

Genl: Secy

A: Allright

6/7/32 ~~AG~~

GENERAL SECRETARY 2 JULY 19 No

A.G.O. No 2 & 1932-33 dt 4.7.1932

"Forwarded with compliments to the Senior Surgeon for favour of remarks on the points raised above.

By order

7.7.1932

for Genl Secy

No.C.2093-33 dt 16.7.32.

A circular letter was addressed to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts as long ago as 3rd February 1931, requesting them to ascertain and intimate whether the several Muncipalities are agreeable to the arrangements proposed. So far, only a few of the Muncipalities have communicated their resolutions. In view of the long delay that might be entailed, I would suggest that the consultation of individual Muncipalities may be dispensed with. After all, there is not a very marked variation between the existing and the proposed amounts of contribution and it may reasonably be presumed that the Muncipalities can have little or no objection to advance.

GENERAL SECRETARY 18 JULY 1932 No

250 250 250 24

Patten Contribution for D & F Hosp. by Local

General and Revenue Secretariat — Med — File No. 91 of 1932 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

1 (a) 2. The quota of cost payable by the District Boards and Muncipalities for the maintenance of the Local fund Dispensaries may be fixed uniformly at 2/3 and 1/3 respectively on the basis of the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6123-G.141 dated 26-10-1875., which continues to be still in force.

3. The contribution may be recovered by the Comptroller at the begining of each half year in advance from the District Boards and Muncipalities according to the share respectively payable by each.

4. I am of opinion that the contribution should be uniform for all Districts and no variation as proposed for the Tumkur, Kadur, Hassan and Chitaldrug Districts would be desirable. The differentiation may justly give rise to dissatisfaction.

S. Subba Rao,
Senior Surgeon.

16-7-1932

* It may be a hardships to them, as they are paying much less now as shown in the offices notes. dd

dd 18.7.32

GENERAL Mis... ORDERS

19 JUL 32

Letter No C 2093 dt 16.7.1932 from the Senior Surgeon. Forwards a copy of the Resolution of the Kolar Dt Board, agreeing to pay Medical Contribution for each dispensary at Rs 16.00 including Municipal quota of cost per year.

72 Subd with reference to paras 68-71 supra

Mr C.S. 21.7.32 — For orders. The point for consideration is whether the local bodies may be compelled to pay higher contribution. dd 21.7.32

Genl: Secy

73. Please speak to me

Rg 30/7/32 dd 1/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

25)

250

Mysd File No. 91 of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

14) Please See portion marked A on p. 22 are the figures reliable – pl. get them checked by the Comptroller's office

RR
3/8/)

15) The point for determination is whether the average cost mentioned for each disp: in each of the Districts ~~(page 22)~~ will be more than indicated in the list on page 22. ~~Please obtain this information from the Comptroller's office~~. The avergs mentioned ~~herein includes pensionary contn~~ RR 30.8.32 herein is only for fixed charges and includes pensionary contribution. The Genl: Secy wants Col: 3 of the statement received from the S.S. to be verified, to see if the figures given on page 22 of the notes are reliable.

16) Forwarded with Compliments to the Comptroller for favor of verification & early return.

By order
A. Devadas
for Genl: Secy.

5. 8. 1932.

Flag

M.O. No 6 –
1932-33
dt 5.8.1932

COMPTROLLER'S O
No. 75/41.
6 AUG. 1932
A-II
BANGALORE.

YR

(26

b Lump-Sum Contribution payable by Local Bodies towards the maintenance of Dispensaries [App. F. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat | Med File No. 91 of 1931.

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON 2 SEP. 1932 MYSORE GOVT. BANGALORE.

Serial No. 110/A 11 of 28/8/32

No 83/8/1. 24/8/32

77) Returned with compliments.

The exact period taken in each case for the calculation of average cost entered in Col. 3 of the statement may be kindly ascertained from the Senior Surgeon and intimated.

GENERAL SECRETARY 25 AUG. 19 No

78) The pay and allowances of Relieving Compounders and Midwives and of Midwives attached to certain dispensaries now borne by the District Board do not appear to have been taken into account in the calculation of cost.

For Comptroller.

If approved the papers may be forwarded to the Senior Surgeon, K.O. for furnishing the above details.

GENERAL SECRETARY 25 AUG. 19 No

Genl Secy) 25.8.32

G.S's order 31.8.32

2.0.M 1932 1932-33 & 31.8.32

80) Forwarded with Compliments to the Senior Surgeon for favor of furnishing the particulars called for.

By order, A Devadas for Genl Secy.

31. 8. 32

2093-34/15.9.32

GENERAL SECRETARY 15 SEP. 1 No

81) The cost entered under Col. 3 of the statement is based on the triennial average of the demand for contribution in respect of each dispensary for the years 1929-30, 1930-31 and 1931-32.

82) The pay and allowances of relieving compounders

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Statement showing the verification of Medical Contribution for the years 1928-32.

District.	As per Comptroller's figures.	As per Senior Surgeon.	Difference.	Remarks.	
1. Bangalore.	36,024.	20,179-0-0.	15,845-0-0.	Omission to include 1. Dispy. Channapatna.	2,753-0-0
				2. L.F.Dispensary in the Bangalore City Municipal limits.	12,194-0-0
				3. Aungondanhally L.F.Dispensary.	898-0-0
2. Kolar.	22,658.	17,785-13-4.	4,872- 2-8. "	to include 1. L.F. Dispensary, Masti.	920-0-0
				2. F. Dispensary, Kolar.	1,348-0-0
				3. do Chintamani.	
				do Chikballapur.	2,604-2-8
3. Tumkur.	26,948½.	22,424-0-0	4,524-8-0. "	1. Female Dispensary at Tumkur.	2,802-8-0
	27,189	23,639-13-4	3,549-2-8	2. Maternity at Tiptur.	1,722-0-0
4. Shimoga.	~~22,822~~	~~17,880~~	~~4,816~~ "	1. Seegehatti dispensary.	638-0-0
				2. Female Dispensary, Shimoga.	1,406-0-0
				3. " " Sagar	1,505-2-8
5. Kadur.	17,677.	12,860-4-2.	4,816-11-10. "	1. Female Dispensary, Chickmagalur.	1,399-0-0
				2. " Tarikere.	
				3. " Mudigere.	3,417-11-10
6. Chitaldrug.	22,287½	14,313-10-8.	7,973-13-4.	1. Female Dispensary at Chitaldrug.	2,496-0-0
				2. Female Dispensary at Davangere.	5,477-13-4
7. Mysore.	45,768.	38,152.	7,616.	1. L.F.Dispensary in the Municipal limits of Mysore.	5,923-0-0
				2. Female dispensary at Nanjangud.	550-0-0
				3. Female Dispensary at Hunsur.	1,143-0-0
8. Hassan.	33,830½.	30,220-8-0.	3,610.	1. Female Dispensary at Hassan.	1,169-0-0
				2. Female dispensary at Holenarsipur.	2,441-0-0
Total.	2,32,382½.	1,79,575- 1-6.	52,807- 6-6.		

S.S.I

K.G. [illegible]
Assistant Comptroller.

2[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | W[illegible] File No. 91 97 of 1932.

Serial No.

No. 135/8pl. 10-10-32.

Returned with compliments.

86) The statement forwarded by the Senior Surgeon is verified with the books of this office and the variations noticed in the several districts are detailed in the annexed statement.

87) It is seen that the differences are in the main due to omission of contribution recovered in respect of female dispensaries and Maternity Hospitals in all the districts and the Local Fund Dispensaries within the limits of Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities.

GENERAL SECRETARY'S 20 OCT. No

12-10-32

[illegible]

For Comptroller.

88) Subd. with reference to para 74-76.

20/10/32

89) The papers may perhaps be forwarded again to the S.S. for necessary corrections in the statement & further proposals, if any.

20.10.32

(Genl: Secy)

90) Forwarded with Compliments to the Senior Surgeon

31. 10. 32.

By order
[illegible]
for Genl: Secy.

G.S.'s ltr 21/10. 2.10.32 31 & 1932-33 dt 1.11.32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

SAM)

29) Lumpsum Contribution payable by Local Bodies towards the maintenance of L.F. Dispensaries
= 2 5 0
2 5 0

3rd

Med File No. 91 of 1932. | General and Revenue Secretariat

C2093.36
5.11.32

91 The reasons for the exclusion of the several Female Dispensaries and Maternities in the State from the scheme are clearly explained in para 3 of this office note No.G.C.369 dated 2-5-1930. In this connection, I would also invite attention to the last para of G.O.No.G.10642-59/Med.85-25-6 dated 28-6-1926.

Page 5 of the Note

A. Med 85-25-6

92) It is not proposed to incorporate the several Branch Dispensaries in the Bangalore and Mysore Cities, in the scheme, as the charges in each case are met by the Local Bodies in variable proportions.

93) The reduced scale Dispensaries at Anugondahalli in Bangalore District and Masti in Kolar District and the puckka Dispensary at Seegahatti in Shimoga District having been opened subsequently there was no occasion to include them in the list. The scheme may be extended to these Dispensaries also.

S. Subbarao
Senior Surgeon.

SECRETARIAT

CSR. 94

Subd with refer to para 74.

9.11.1932

1) Pass

14

Lumpsum contribution payable by Local (30
boards towards L.F. dispensaries

250 [App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. med 91 of 1929

Serial No.

95 Subd: ~~Subd~~ The Senior Surgeon has proposed the levy of Rs 1400 per annum from the local boards for local fund dispensaries & Rs 1000 per annum for dispensaries on a reduced scale of expenditure, ~~the~~ ~~boards~~ The district Boards of Bangalore, Mysore & Shimoga are agreeable to the proposal. While the ~~D~~ Dt Boards of Hassan, Kadur & Chitaldrug are not agreeable to the proposal & the Dt Boards of Tumkur & Kolar propose a reduction in the contribution viz to Rs 1300 & Rs 1000 respectively. On an average they are now paying a contribution of ranging from Rs 989 for L.F. dispensaries in the Kadur District to Rs 1313 in the Shimoga Dt and from Rs 821 for reduced scale dispensary in the Bangalore Dt. to Rs 965 in the Kolar Dt. The fixing of the scale proposed by the Senior Surgeon acts as a hardship to most of the district Boards, whose finances are not in a good condition. It appears necessary that a uniform scale should be fixed for all districts alike and before further action is taken it appears desirable to consult the dissenting District Boards again. They may perhaps agree if the scale is reduced. Subd for orders.

96 16/12

Jt Secy 7-12-32 (97) Jt Secy to Govt of India

Chief Secy

Govt of India Comptt, 20/12

(Vide 5 subs. 816/32)

	Present contribution L.F. disp	Reduced scale disp
Bangalore	1236	821
Kolar	1241	965
Tumkur	1221	—
Mysore	1241	935
Hassan	1150	902
Kadur	989	—
Shimoga	1313	—
Chitaldrug	1003	—

GENERAL SECRETARY'S 20 DEC. 1929 No.

98 A.S. Please speak

NOTE—Contn. Sheet. 24/12

290

99 Resp Sir The General Secretary has sent a note to the various explaining the financial position of the Dt Boards which have not agreed to the proposal & their capacity to pay the contribution suggested by the Senior Surgeon. A note with reference to the various points is submitted herewith. 23/12

WD 70-1-GBPM—50,000—[illegible]

3) Lumpsum Contribution payable by local bodies for L.F. Dispensaries

File No. of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

100 A note is submd,
MBy CB 6/1

C.W. Please bring up Note 17/1/33

101 Re the note put up, I take it that the contributions in the last two columns refer to the amounts in respect of the Disps mentioned in the Senior Surgeons' list, and not to the whole Dt. Cont: on account of Med! relief. This may be kindly verified and the note got Typed. A column may be added in the end showing the amount that will be due as per proposal in the file by the SS. It will clearly show the actual difference between what is obtaining now and what will be expected to be spent.

Supt may kindly verify the typed statement with the hand note put up for this.

DR&K 26/1/33

102 The figures given in the last 2 columns are the figures given in the D.B. budget (printed copies of Tumkur, Kadur & Chitg are put up. copies have not been recd from the Hassan Dt & the connected file is in use) They do not include prov" for "midwives & Maternity" & perhaps pensionery charges also. The figures presumably include charges for all kinds of Disps for which the D.B. is required to contribute.

Over comparison should refer only to the items which will be affected by the SS's proposal. Recs for as much is available MBy

103 A new column is added as directed,
MBy CB 28/1/33

104 Will the Supt Kindly see the last Column & see if the figures stated are what they wd be accg to the S.S.'s statement. A working sheet will make the whole clear if necessary. The note may kindly be got typed after verification.

105 Recd with a typed statement as directed DR&K 29/1/33

Recd 25/4/33 SPM

(32)

Serial No.

106 The figures entered in the typed statement put up relate to the Budget Estimates of the D.B.s in question for 1932-33. The figures as framed in the Budgets for 1933-34 (which have all been read) may also be entered in the Statement of the file submitted.

W.M. B.S. 4/7/33

107 The figures relating to 1933-34 are noted on the reverse of the typed statement below

B.S. 21/7

108 The Senior Surgeon's proposals for fixing lumpsums to be paid by District Boards towards fixed charges of Local Fund Dispensaries are as follows;-

(i) Regular scale Dispensaries Rs. 1,400 per annum

(ii) Reduced scale Dispensaries Rs. 1,000 ,,

N.B. - Reduced scale dispensaries to be converted into regular scale dispensaries and higher lumpsums fixed by the Senior Surgeon when necessary.

(iii) Quota of contribution payable by the District Boards and Municipalities to be fixed uniformly at 2/3rds and 1/3rd respectively and the shares payable by each to be recovered by the Comptroller in advance at the beginning of each half year.

The advantages expected to result from the new procedure are explained by the Senior Surgeon in para 12 supra.

109. The District Boards of Bangalore, Mysore, Kolar and Shimoga have agreed to the proposals of the Senior Surgeon in their entirety, while the Tumkur District Board are agreeable subject to the condition

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

condition of the reduction of the lumpsum from Rs. 1,400 to Rs. 1,300. The other three District Boards, viz., Kadur, Hassan and Chitaldrug, are against the proposals, on the ground that they will have to bear additional exrerditure under the proposed arrangement.

110. The financial condition of the District Boards of Tumkur, Hassan, Kadur and Chitaldrug, according to the draft budget estimates for the year 1933-34, is depicted in the statement put up, along with the contribution now being made by the Boards on account of the Local Fund Dispensaries and the amount they have to pay according to the Senior Surgeon's proposals. It will be seen from the latter figures that what the Boards have to pay under the proposed scheme will be more than their existing commitments in every case. The difference is also very large, being about Rs. 4,000, 3,000, 5,000 and 4,000 respectively in the case of the Tumkur, Hassan, Kadur and Chitaldrug Districts.

111. The points for consideration are:-

(i) whether the District Boards who have disagreed, may be addressed again with a view to get their agreement or have smaller sums fixed in their cases as suggested in paras 57 and 62 to 64 supra. The Senior Surgeon is not agreeable to have different rates for different Districts.

(ii) Whether, in case the District Boards persist in their opinion against the change, they can be compelled to accept the new proposals and pay more than they are now doing. This is a point on which the Law Section may be consulted.

(iii) Whether the opinions of the Municipalities

34

Serial No.

have not to be obtained, as their quota will also be increased in many cases. The Senior Surgeon has stated in para 71 supra that the Deputy Commissioners were asked to get the opinions of the several Municipalities and that only a few Municipalities sent their resolutions. What the resolutions were is not stated. The Senior Surgeon thinks that in view of the long delay involved, the consultation of the individual Municipalities may be dispensed with, and that as there will not be marked variation between the existing and the proposed amounts, the Municipalities can have no objection to advance.

112. The consultation of the Municipalities cannot, it seems, be dispensed with and we cannot also presume that they will be agreeable to the new proposals. Besides, there may be some exclusively Municipal Dispensaries the whole of the contribution in respect of which has to be paid by Municipal Councils and they have to be given an opportunity to give their opinion regarding the enhancement of the amount due by them. In this view, the Senior Surgeon may perhaps be asked to obtain and forward the opinions of the Municipalities also.

J. Wilkinson 1924

E

113. In his letter No. C. 2093-25 dated the 5th January 1932, the Senior Surgeon said that the scheme might be introduced tentatively for three years, subject to the condition that if any of the District Boards find it unsatisfactory it will be open to them to revert to the existing procedure.

PTC

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

35) Standardization of contribution payable by Local bodies on account of L.F. Dispensaries.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 91 2921 25 of 1933

If any of the District Boards may revert to the old procedure after some years, we might as well sanction the scheme only in the case of District Boards which have agreed to the proposals, leaving it to the other Boards to ask for it on seeing its successful working elsewhere.

Foraders

114) Sen Secy)

115) I Member

II ~~Member~~

116) (This correspondence has been going on for a year!) I should like to discuss this with Sir J.S. after his return from leave

117) May be seen on 6 next. Secy for further action

118) G.H. Branch)

119) Dr. Subba Rao has left for leave.

120) Submit for orders as to when Sir J.S. may be asked to come & wait upon me I Member.

121) I Member)

122) Please submit on 1st working day Sept.

GENERAL 8 AUG. 1933 No.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 18 AUG 1933 No. 1461

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 28 AUG. 1933 No. 1613

General and Revenue Secretariat

Standardisation of Contributions payable by Local Bodies towards the maintenance of Local Fund Dispensaries [App. F. III–250

File No. Mis 97 of 1929.

Serial No.

123) Resubd as directed at para 122

BSR 2-9-33

(124) II Member 2/9/33

Secretary to the Hon'ble Member of Council
2 SEP. 1933
No.

125) The S.S may meet me on the 11th inst at 3 p.m.

File to be returned to Mens Sec on 9th inst

5/9

6/9/33

Today 7/9/33

DRAFTING SECTION
7 SEP. 1933
GEN'L & REV. SECT.

126) Subd with a Draft Do

Bdd 7-9-33

127) 7/9

Issued: No. 9-1774 dt. 7/9/33

128) I discussed this with the Senior Surgeon. The main difficulty was with reference to the fixing of Rs.1,400 for all District Boards. The Senior Surgeon is now agreeable to have different figures for each District Board based upon the average cost for the past three years. According to this calculation, the contribution may be fixed at Rs.1,400 in the case of Bangalore, Shimoga, Kolar and Mysore, Rs.1,300 for Tumkur and Rs.1,000 or so for other Districts. There is no need to consult the Municipalities concerned again. The scheme

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

Standardization of Contribution payable by Local Bodies on account of 7 lacs cent x x7 Old Pensions. 250

27/93 of 1933

File No. 91

General and Revenue Secretariat

/scheme may be tried tentatively for a period of two years in the first instance, and the Senior Surgeon may be asked to ~~make [illegible]~~ submit a report to Government. at the end of the period

129) A draft may be put up on these lines.

J/02
28/9
RR
29/9/33.

130) Subd. with a Off: Govt. order.

MM MM
6-11-33

131) Put up clean copy as revised please.
9/11/33

132) A clean ~~Copy~~ of the Off is put up.
Whether the same Scale of contribution as to be levied for the Reduced Scale Pensioners or a lower amount may also to be decided.
RMM 10.11.33

MM
10/11

133) Reduced scale Rs 4 to Rs 9 p.m. will have to be framed in the case of these Pensioners. Draft ~~Submitted~~ as per orders of the II member above.
14/11/33

134) After approval. Typed draft will be put up to Secy
14/11

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
14 NOV. 1933
No. _____

54) Gen: Secy)

135) Please speak. I think the SS. Secy to be consulted first on the proposed GO
MM
25-11
27/11

"To be kept in view"
G.S. Secys Ordered
To Secy I/C
21/12/33

D 250

(38

General and Revenue Secretariat

Standardization of Contribution payable by Local Bodies on account of [App. F. III—2
File No. Med 97 of 1929 L.F. of Dispensaries

Serial No.

136) Subd with a typed copy & Off copy (as directed by Gen Secy)

Bom 6.12.33

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 12 DEC. 1933 No. 587

Gen: Secy) 12/12/33

137) The draft G.O. may be sent to the Sen. Surgeon for remarks if any. He may also be asked to suggest a scale for the reduced scale Dispensaries in the several Dts instead of a uniform one of Rs1000 in all cases.

Ltr no. 92 dated 4.1.34 29.12.33

138) Forwarded with compliments & G.O. to the Senior Surgeon for favour of remarks & further suggestions

Bo

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 1 - FEB. 1934 No.

30-12-1933

B.F. Mackenzie 4/1/34
for Gen Secy
to Surg.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

2093-40/1.2.34

The draft Government order may issue, with the modifications explained below:-

(39) Para 11. As regards midwives who are employed in places where there are no Local Fund Dispensaries and whose pay is borne directly by the District Boards, the actual cost should be recovered along with and in addition to the standardised contribution for the nearest dispensary. For purposes of supervision and disciplinary control it is necessary to treat these midwives as forming part of the establishment of the nearest dispensary. At present midwives of this type are in most cases, paid from the Medical Budget in the first instance, subject to recovery of contributions from the District Board in the usual course. I may explain that incidentally this arrangement will obviate delays in the disbursement of pay to the midwives, which are otherwise likely to occur.

Municipal midwives, (whose pay is met entirely by Municipalites) may continue to be paid directly by the Municipalities concerned.

(40) Para.7. The only difference between a regular Local Fund Dispensary and a reduced scale dispensary lies in the pay of the Medical Subordinates in charge of the latter. In the case of a Local Fund dispensary the pay of the Sub Assistant Surgeon is Rs.50-5-100 whereas it is Rs.40-50 in a reduced scale dispensary. But this affects only the expenditure debitable to Government. In other respects, the items of expenditure are practically identical except that in the case of Local Fund Dispensaries, an extra water woman is provided and a sum of Rs.10/- is allotted under fixed contingencies against Rs.5/- provided in the case of Reduced Scale Dispensaries. As could be seen from the following comparative statement of cost of these dispensaries, a net difference of about Rs.12/- per mensem or about Rs.144/- per annum could be taken as the average difference of cost.

	Reduced Scale.	Local Fund Dispensaries.
1. Charge allowance	Rs.10,15,20.	10
2. Compounder's pay (including Malnad allowance.)	Rs.22	25 (average)
3. Midwives pay (including Malnad allowance.	" 28	25 "
4. Dispensary Attendant or servant.....	" 10	8
5. Fixed contingencies.	" 5	10
6. Water woman.	-	6
7. Bedding & clothing.	-	5
8. Country Medicines.	-	8
Total	Rs. 85	97

The average expenditure incurred in some

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0131—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

P.T.O

40)

Standardization of benchmarks payable to Local Bodies on account of cost of Dispensaries

read

File No. 94 of 1933. 250.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

some reduced scale dispensaries ranges between Rs.900-1000, while in the case of others it varies between Rs.600-800. I am of opinion that a sum of Rs.900/- may be fixed for these dispensaries as based on the difference in the scale of cost as detailed above.

S. Subbarao.
Senior Surgeon.

141) Subd for orders with refce to paras 137.

It appd. the Suggestions made by the Sr Surgeon in paras 139 & 140 will be included in the Dfl G.O.

BSM 5/2/34 MM

6/2/34

142) Gen: Secy)

143) To ITM 23/2 23/2

144) The Dfl G.O is amplified & remtd. The Secy has also to be consulted

145) Refce :- Paras 129 & 137 Supra.

BSM 23/2/34

MM 23.2.34.

146) Gen: Secy)

26/2/34

147) The Secy with Compts

ITM 26/2 26/2

1.3.34

GENERAL 7 FEB. 1934 No 1121

HM 71 : M99 . 33 K Y.L

(41

Serial No.

Jr. 2499

148 Comptroller with compliments, for favour of remarks on the draft Government Order and the proposals of the Senior Surgeon in paras 139 and 140 of the Notes and also for noting the financial effect in the State Fund Budget by the adoption of the lumpsum rates mentioned in the draft Government order.

149 A statement showing the amount payable by the District Boards for each dispensary during the current year under the existing rules and the amount payable according to the proposed order may be got prepared and sent.

371 A2

678/S&C 8/5/34

U.O.No.409/TAD A ii dated 4th May 1934

150) Returned with compliments.

2. The proposed arrangement of fixing a lumpsum rate of contribution is an improvement on the existing procedure and may be sanctioned.

3. A statement showing the demand for the upkeep of dispensaries in each district for the current year and the amount payable as per proposed rates is enclosed as desired. The net aggregate of proposed recoveries is Rs 6,958 more than the present total demand. The demand in respect of dispensaries in Tumkur, Hassan and Chitaldrug Districts will however be less than actual cost, the difference being considerable in Tumkur and Chitaldrug Districts. The proposed rates will have to be therefore suitably enhanced in these cases. The average cost of the upkeep of a reduced scale dispensary actually amounts to Rs 1,057 as per details noted below -

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

	Dispensary	Upkeep cost	Pension Contribution	Total	Serial No.
Bangalore Dt	Begur	972	85	1057	
	Sivagunga	1020	80	1100	
	Anagondahalli	1044	72	1116	
Kolar "	Hosur	1010	80	1090	
	Narsapur	962	67	1029	
	Kyalanur	1010	84	1094	
	Masti	890	71	961	
Tumkur "	Amruthur	1183	99	1282	
	Kadaba	1067	81	1148	
	Mayasandra	1027	89	1116	
	Hosakote	1103	95	1198	
	Hagavadi	1047	81	1128	
Chitaldrug "	Parasarampur	855	71	926	
	Rampur	958	71	1029	
	Belagur	970	80	1050	
Hassan "	Halebid	942	83	1025	
	Gandasi	831	71	902	
	Nuggehalli	867	80	947	
	Halli Mysore	867	71	938	
				20,136	

Average cost 20136 ÷ 19
Rs = 1057

The lumpsum rate now proposed of Rs 900 per dispensary will not cover the cost and may have to be raised to at least Rs 1,100 in Tumkur, Hassan and Chitaldrug Districts, where the net result as stated above is a deficit.

5. There is a marked increase noticeable in the revised contribution payable by the Municipalities of Kolar District. This is due to the reason that their present quota is not 1/3 of the whole cost as per rules but is much less. In several dispensaries the quota is limited to a moiety of the pay of the midwife, all other charges being paid by the District Board. How such an arrangement was made is not known and as all charges were recovered in full partly from the District Board and partly from the Municipalities,

no

Serial No.

action was taken by us. As under the new rules now proposed, the quota is fixed at a uniform rate of 1/3 of the cost and some of the minor Municipal Councils — excepting Siddlaghatta as in list below — will have to pay more than twice or thrice the amount that they were asked to pay hitherto. ~~(Vide below.)~~

Municipalities	Present quota.	Revised	Excess
Srinivaspur	156	467	311
Goribidnur	138	467	329
Bagepalli	120	467	347
Gudibanda	138	467	329
Chintamani	277	467	190
Siddlaghatta	368	467	99

153)

6. As regards the mode of recovery of cost of maintenance of rural Midwives referred to in para 139 of the file, the Senior Surgeon's proposals may be approved as the suggested procedure is in consonance with the existing practice.

M. Venkatesalyengar

Comptroller

CV

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

X 2499

Returned with compliments to the Comptroller.

154 From the statements put up by his office it is seen that there is much variation between the actual expenditure and the lump sum contribution proposed, in respect of several dispensaries. Before changing the existing procedure, it is desirable to know what are the defects in the existing procedure, and whether they cannot be remedied otherwise; without changing the system itself.

155 The rules require that the Senior Surgeon should intimate to the Local Bodies the amount to be provided in their budgets on account of the several L F dispensaries and the provision in the State Budget is based on the sanctioned scale and information furnished by the Senior Surgeon. It is not clear how there can be any variation (in any case large variation) between the provision in the State Budget and the Budget of the Local Body.

156 The Senior Surgeon, in his note dated 2-5-30 (Vide page 4 of the notes in this file) states that fluctuations necessarily occur from year to year on account of minor items such as Travelling allowance, rewards to midwives etc. In cases in which there are only small variations, some arrangement has to be made to adhere to the figure furnished by the Senior Surgeon to the Local Body in the first instance, irrespective of the actuals or the provision in the State Budget.

157 So far as establishment is concerned, correct provision based on the sanctioned cost at the time of preparation of the Budget may be made. If any new or additional establishment are sanctioned subsequently, the recovery of such charges may be taken up separately.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WPT-0965—GPB-22,500—12-4-34

With regard to variable charges such as Travelling Allowance, rewards to midwives etc, the figures worked out by the Senior Surgeon and intimated to the Local Body in the first instance may be required to be adopted irrespective of the actuals.

158 The Comptroller is requested to note the several defects in the existing procedure and how they could be remedied without changing the procedure itself.

21-8-34

11/9/34

11.9

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE TA-DA II 13 SEP 1934 BANGALORE

187/8 13/9/34

U.O.No.105/TADA II dated 12th October 1934.

159) Returned with compliments.

2. I have since gone through the question and I find that though a fixed lumpsum contribution will to some extent reduce clerical work both in this office and the Senior Surgeon's Office and will also do away with the uncertainty of the demands against each local body, still the departure of actuals from such demands may not be considerable and the minor considerations affecting clerical work do not also warrant a change in the existing procedure which was fixed after due consideration of all aspects in G.O.No.L.6827-47 Medl.129-21-17 dated 5th April 1923. The fixed contributions fixed ~~at any time~~ will at best be empirical and will also have to be revised periodically if there should be a demand by any local body for reduction. Some minor Municipalities

are

Serial No.

are it is seen also expected to pay under the revised procedure proposed, contributions actually much in excess of what they are at present paying (Vide U.O.Note of 4th May 1934). Such fixations may also add to the need for reconsideration of the quota to be fixed as leviable per year and the institutions also may not agree.

150 3. The main point of trouble under the existing procedure is that the local bodies are asked to pay more than the budgetted amounts, due to fluctuation in the demand under the head "variable charges", viz., T.A. and Rewards to Midwives. From a calculation made, it is seen that these latter charges roughly work out to at about 10% of the total demand and they cannot be treated as materially affecting the budget equillibrium of the bodies concerned, provided their budget is otherwise balanced.

151 4. The Senior Surgeon has to intimate the demand to the local bodies well in time for inclusion in their budgets and there should not be wide differences between these figures and those that are worked out in the demand statements, subsequently got up on the basis of the sanctioned S.F.Budget and forwarded to this office for arranging recovery. If, for any reason, there is a large departure in excess in the demand from the provision in the budget of the local bodies, the latter may be permitted to adjust such differences in their accounts from the succeeding year's provision.

NOTE—Contd. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPE—22,500—12-6-34

47) Standardization of Contributions payable by Local Bodies on account of the cost of Local Fund Dispensaries

Secretariat File No. 2910 of 1929. General and Revenue Secretariat

162) 5. If this is acceptable, complaints cannot arise from the local bodies that they are asked to pay sums in excess of their budgetted allotments. The existing arrangements may, therefore be continued. However instructions are necessary to local bodies to say that once the provision required to be made in the budget is fixed, it should not be open to them to ask for further details as to actuals etc from either the Comptroller or the Senior Surgeon and they should make arrangements for meeting the demand promptly.

A. D. Raghavachari
Comptroller.
12/10

AR2499

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
13 OCT. 1934
No.

MK

MSR

163) I agree with "A" and "B" in the Comptroller's note. The existing procedure which has been in vogue for the last ten years e continued for some more time.

FR 2499

27/10

164) Second Number.

II Member in charge Revenue Boards
28-10-34

CMM
30.10.34

165) I should like to discuss 31/10

This with the FC Secy—
The net result of his suggestion is to leave us where we were 5 years after the discussion

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF BOARD OF REVENUE
31 OCT. 1934
No. 3168

Contribution payable by Local Bodies on account of the cost of Local Fund Audit

48

General and Revenue Secretariat — New File No. 50/91 of 1934 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

166) V Fin. Secy.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY U.O.No. Fi:..... 12. NOV. 193 BANGALORE

Fe 947 12/11/34 — W 723 12/11

Cons. 10.11.34 — 12/11

I agree discussed the matter with the Fl Secy I agree with him

JSM 5/1

GENERAL SECRETARY 7 JAN 193

Cons. 8.1.35. — 8/1/35

Revised draft RO subd — 15/1/35 CB. — 17/1 — Gen: Secy) — For approval 21/1/35

TYPIN GENL 22 JAN 193

Cons. 22.1.35 — 22/1/35

Fl. cons. 11/1 — Draft and fair subd — 28/1 WF — 28/1

Issue 29.1.35.

Issued

Press Res

Printed copies have been sent to Dr Bds & Dcs asking their receipt thereof

31/1/35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

GENL & REVENUE SECTT. 31. JAN. 1935

49) Contributions payable by Local Bodies on account of the Cost of Local Fund Dispensaries

Pred. File No. 91 of 1929. 25 General and Revenue Secretariat

No. G. 7135-52 Dt. 28/1/35

To The Senior Surgeon wi Mysore
Comptroller to Govt. Mro Fd. Sect:
" Depy. Commrs of Dists.
" Presidents of Dist. Boards Mro the
Depy. Commrs.

Desires that the existing procedure re: the recovery of Contributions from Local Bodies towards the Cost of the up-keep of Medical institutions within their jurisdiction which has been in vogue for the past few years be continued for some more time, subject to certain Conditions. etc

Press Copy ✓
Printed Copies are sent from the Press
B
28/2 ✓

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

14/9

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Med
File No. 91-29
Serial No. 2
No. G. 3849/G/1

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the , 192

To The Sr Surgeon

Date of issue 19.9.29.

Signed by W. N. D. Rao

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy & statement of promises made at the Budget session of the R.A. of June 1929 and to request you to be so good as to report for the information of Govt the action proposed to be taken in the matter.

I have etc

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

for Chief Secy to Govt

19

over

re ~~Medical~~ Contribution to Local Bodies (under the L.F. Dispensaries)

(51

F 14484, 5.6.30

med 91-29-3

Dr. S. Subba Rao, B.A., M.B., C.M., D.P.H., etc.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, B a n g a l o r e.

With reference to your Un-official Note No. G.C. 369 dated the 2nd May 1930 submitting proposals for fixing a lumpsum contribution to be paid by the Local Bodies in respect of each of the Local Fund Dispensaries in the State, I am directed to state that as the pensionary charges of the establishment of Local Fund Dispensaries now borne by the local bodies will, under the new arrangement have to be met from the General Revenues, some amount (approximately one-eighth of the cost of the establishment) will have to be added to the figure suggested by you against each Local Fund Dispensary.

I am also to observe that the concurrence of the local bodie is necessary for the new arrangement and therefore to request tha the final recommendation may be forwarded in consultation with the local bodies concerned.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

P.H.

Fair draft.

1158.

MYSORE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT

No. C2093-6

Office of the Senior Surgeon in Mysore.

Bangalore, dated the 6.5.1931

52

Enc.

Med.-91-29-4

Telegrams:—
Senorgen.

Telephone:—
No. 215

CHIEF SECRETARY 9 MAY 1931

From S. SUBBA RAO, Esq., B. A., M. B. C. M., M. R. C. S., (Eng.) L. R. C. P., D. P. H.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

9 MAY 1931

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No. G. 10918/Med. 91-29-dated 1-5-31, regarding the lumpsum contribution payable by the local bodies in respect of Local Fund Dispensaries, I have the honor to state that the Presidents have been addressed in the matter. To facilitate the consideration of the question it is proposed to convene a meeting of the Presidents of the several District Boards on the occasion of the forthcoming Birthday festivities and to explain to them the advantages of the scheme, so that the question may attain a speedy disposal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
S. Subba Rao
Senior Surgeon

53

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
MEDICAL DEPARTMENT

No C. 2093-11

Office of the Senior Surgeon in Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 6-7-1931

Enc.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 7 JULY 1931 No

GENERAL [illegible] BRANCH 131 7 JULY 1931

Telegrams:— Senorgen.

Telephone:— No. 215

From

S. SUBBA RAO, Esq., B. A., M. B. C. M., M. R. C. S., (Eng.) L. R. C. P., D. P. H.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

Mev 91-29-S

The General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

R9 7/31 dt 13

In continuation of my letter No. C. 2093-6, dated the 6th May 1931, regarding the lumpsum contributions payable by the Local Bodies in respect of Local Fund Dispensaries, I have the honour to state that the Presidents of the several District Boards were invited to attend a meeting at the Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore, in order to provide an opportunity for personal discussion and to ascertain and explain practical difficulties, if any, in the proposed scheme, but only the Presidents of Mysore, Shimoga and Kolar attended the meeting. The President of the District Board, Mysore, was quite agreeable to the standardisation proposed and the other gentlemen promised that they would now put the whole case before District Boards and would send in their resolution on the subject.

A final report will be submitted to Government on receipt of replies from the several District Boards.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Subba Rao.

Senior Surgeon.

(54

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
MEDICAL DEPARTMENT

Med. 91-29-

Office of the Senior Surgeon in Mysore,

No. C. 2093.

Bangalore, dated the 18. 10. 193 1

Enc.

Telegrams:— Senorgen

Telephone:— No. 215

From

S. SUBBA RAO, Esq., B. A., M. B. C. M., M. R. C. S., (Eng.) L. R. C. P., D. P. H.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your No.G.3022/Med.91-29 dated 9-10-31, enquiring whether the final reports called for from the several District Boards has been received in the matter of the lumpsum contribution payable by them in respect of the Local Fund Dispensaries, I have the honour to state that the Presidents of the District Boards of Mysore, Kolar, Tumkur and Shimoga and of the Muncipalities in the Districts of Mysore, Kolar and Shimoga have forwarded their views. The Presidents of the District Boards of Kadur, Hassan, Bangalore and Chitaldrug have intimated that they will send in their replies early. Among Muncipalities *those in the following Districts have not as yet furnished their resolutions. On receipt of the information from all the Local Bodies, a final report will be submitted for the consideration and orders.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Subbarao.
Senior Surgeon.

1). Bangalore.
2). Hassan.
3). Kadur.
4). Tumkur.
5). Chitaldrug.

Submit for perusal.
Further report will be abwaited

19.10.31

22 OCT. 1931

22/10/1931

55

MYSORE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT

Med. 91-29-6

No. 2093-95

Office of the Senior Surgeon in Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 3. 1. *1932.*

Enc. 8

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
6 JAN 1932

Telegrams:— Senorgen.

Telephone:— No. 215

3188

From

S. SUBBA RAO Esq., B. A., M. B. C. M., M. R. C. S., (Eng.) L. R. C. P., D. P. H.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No.G.4592/Med. 91-29, dated 4th December 1931, enquiring how the question, about the lumpsum contributions payable by the Local Bodies towards the maintensnce of the Local Fund Dispensaries, stands at present, I have the honour to forward for the information of the Government enclosed resolutions in original, received from the Presidents of the District Boards.

2, Of the 8 Districts, only the President of the District Board, Mysore, has accepted the scheme in its entirity

as proposed The District Boards of the Kolar, Tumkur Bangalore, and Shimoga Districts, have recorded their assent conditionally. The rest of the District Boards, viz., Hassan Kadur and Chitaldrug are not in favour of the scheme.

From the Resolutions it is very clear that there seems no possibility at all of the proposed standardisation taking effect if the scheme has to receive the prior approval of the Boards. There seems no reason why the proposals which are acceptable for say, Mysore should not be so in the case of other Districts. The advantages claimed for the scheme are self evident and the fact is certain to be practically realised by the Boards themselves in the course of a year or two. In these circumstances, I would recommend that the arrangements may be introduced tentatively for three years. subject to the condition that if any of the District Boards should find it unsatisfactory in any way, it will be open to them to revert to the procedure which now exists.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

S. Subba Rao
Senior Surgeon.

(57)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTH ORDINARY MEETING OF THE DISTRICT BOARD, BANGALORE HELD ON THE 20TH JUNE 1931.

Letter No. C. 2093 dated 3rd February 1931 from the Senior Surgeon in Mysore, Bangalore, inviting the resolution of the Board or the scheme proposed by him in connection with the standardisation of Medical contributions towards the maintenance of Local Fund and Reduced Scale Dispensaries in local areas.

RESOLUTION:- The Board resolve that they accept the scheme proposed on the condition that they do not consent to any further liability being incurred on their behalf.

Sd/- H. R. Guruv Reddi,

Vice P r e s i d e n t,
(Presiding)

"C o p y"

V. Venkataiah

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

(58

Proceedings of the meeting of the Chitaldrug District Board, and Local Education Authority, held at Chitaldrug on 7th November 1931.

Present

G. Channappa, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
President, District Board, and
Local Education Authority, Chitaldrug.

Subject No. 29.

Regarding the standardisation of medical contributions.

(Resolution of the Standing Committee:--The Standing Committee cannot recommend the proposal in as much as it involves an additional expenditure to the extent of Rs. 2,000 every year.

Board's resolution:--Approved.

Sd/ G. Channappa
President.

Copy

G. Channappa

President.

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON, MYSORE GOVT: BANGALORE. 2 NOV. 1931

(59

WHEN REPLYING PLEASE QUOTE THIS OFFICE NO. AND DATE IN FULL

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board

No. L. B. 378/30-31

Dated, Chickmagalur 26th October 1931
1-11-31.

From

HOSAKOPPA KRISHNA RAO, Esquire,
President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR.

To

The Senior Surgeon,
with the Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C. 2093 dated the 3rd February last and in continuation of this office letter No. L.B. 378/30-31 dated the 31st July 1931 regarding the standardisation of medical contribution towards the maintenance of Local Fund and reduced scale dispensaries in local areas, I have the hx honour to state that on a thorough examination of the proposed scheme the Board have with the advice of their Standing Committee resolved at their meeting held on the 9th instant that the -- present practice alone might continue,

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
President,
Kadur District Board.

N.R.

Complete & yall
Write to Govt
received
2/4

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON, MYSORE GOVT. BANGALORE.
29 OCT. 1931

(100

TEL. ADDRESS: " PRESBOARD "

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,

No. A2-463-30

ENCLS.

Hassan, Dated 15th Octr. 1931.

FROM

H. B. GUNDAPPA, ESQ., B. A., B. L.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
HASSAN.

TO

The Senior Surgeon in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Re: the standardisation of Medical contribution towards the L.F.Dispensaries.

With reference to your letters Nos. C.2093 and C.2093-22, dated 3rd Feby. 31 and 30th Septr.31, respectively on the above subject. I have the honor to state that the District Board who considered the subject at their meeting held on 3rd Octr.31, passed the following resolution:-

"The Board feel that the present system is working properly. The proposed scheme does not in any way lessen the liability of the Board. On the other hand it is feared that the expenditure may go up and that the increase in the number of Dispensaries may not be as it should be. And in the absence of any power to the Board for revision in the differenciation of pukka and reduced scale dispensaries, the Board are not in favour of the scheme"

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board, Hassan.

Complete 30/10

(161

Proceedings of the Meeting of the District Board, Shimoga held from 4th to 6th September 1931.

32. Read letter No. C. 2093-13 dated 7-7-31 from the Senior Surgeon with the Government of Mysore stating that the District Board would be responsible to contribute only their quota of contribution out of the proposed fixed sum according to the scheme formulated regarding the standardisation of Medical Contribution towards L.F. Dispensaries in the District.

RESOLUTION:- The Board is agreeable to the proposal of the Senior Surgeon provided that the contribution from the Municipalities is recovered direct by the Comptroller.

Sd. H. SIDDAIYA,
President,
District Board, Shimoga.

"Copy"

H Siddaiya

President,
District Board, Shimoga.

(62

Extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the District Board, Tumkur District, held in the Deputy Commissioner's Court Hall on Thursday the 6th August 1931 at 12 noon.

Read Sec. 2.

[illegible] Rs. 2000 dated 20-2-1931 [illegible] Rs. 1,00,000/- [illegible] to the Local Fund Estimates.

Resolved:- [illegible] Rs. 2,00,000/- [illegible] Rs. 1,00,000/- [illegible] Local Fund Estimates [illegible] Rs. 1,00,000/- [illegible] other local fund heads.

K Narayana Setty.

Proceedings of the Kolar District Board Meeting held on the 2nd April 1931.

xx xx xx

(63

54. Senior Surgeon's letter No.C.2093 dated 3-2-31 for-warding for consideration a scheme aiming at the standardisation of Medical contributions towards the maintenance of local fund and reduced scale dispensaries in local areas.

Resolution of the Standing Committee:.

Contribution of Rs.1000/- including pensionary chanrges may be paid on an average for each dispensary.

Boards' resolution: The resolution of the Standing Committee is approved.

xx xx xx

/ E X T R A C T /

K Somappa

/ P r e s i d e n t,
District Board, Kolar.

(64

EXTRACT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT BOARD

MEETING HELD ON 23RD/24TH MAY 1931.

** ** ** **

10. Letter No.C.2093 dated 3-2-1931 from the Senior Surgeon in Mysore, calling for the views of the District Board on a scheme proposed for payment of contributions towards the maintenance of local fund and reduced scale dispensaries in local areas.

RESOLUTION :-

May be agreed.

** ** ** **

'Extract.'

B S Pillaswamy
PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD.
Mysore.

YSK/20.

(65

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Genl

File No. 91-29

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to 3198

No. G. 6106/Encl/.

To The Senior Surgeon.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir

With refee to the Correspondence ending with your letter No C 2093-25 dated 5-1-1932 forwarding the opinion of the several Board about the lumpsum Contributions payable by the Local Bodies and the maintenance of D.T. Dispensaries, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish as soon as possible a statement in the form annexed below

P.T.

Date of issue 8/1/32

Signed by Wm. M. Desa Rao

List of enclosures .....

I have

Copied by ...

for Secy

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

L 3788

1) Name of the Hospital	2) Name of the local body paying Contribution towards it & the province in which it is paid	(3) Average amount of Contribution now paid (for the last 3 years)

4	5
Contribution payable according to the proposal under consideration, inclusive of the Secretariat Contribution. All are exclusive of the cost of Establishment.	Whether the local Bodies Concerned have agreed to (4).

Civil Secty

TYPING SECTION
25 JAN 1932
GEN'L & EV SECTT

Name of the Hospital.	Nature of the Local Body paying contribution towards it and the proportion in which it is paid.	Average amount of of contribution now received(for the past 3 years).	Contribution payable according to the proposal under consideration, inclusive of the pensionary contribution at one eight of the cost of establishment.	Whether the Local Bodies concerned have agreed to.

34

MYSORE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT

(67

Telegrams—Surgeon.
Telephone No. 215.

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON IN MYSORE,

No. 2093-29

Bangalore, dated the 16th May 1932

GENERAL SECRETARY 5497. 19 MAY

From

S. SUBBA RAO, Esq., B.A., M.B.C.M., M.R.C.S., (Eng.) L.R.C.P., D.P.H.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARY 18 MAY 1932 No.

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Med. 91-29-8.

Sir

With reference to your No.G.6108/Med.91-29-7 dated 28-1-1932 on the subject of the standardisation of contributions from Local Bodies, I have the honour to forward enclosed statement furnishing the information in required.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Subba Rao

Senior Surgeon.

MYSORE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT

15 JUL 1932 68

No. C.2093-31

Office of the Senior Surgeon in Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 14. 7. 1932

Enc.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 1-JUL-13 No. 91-29

Telegrams:— Jehorgen.

Telephone:— No. 215

From

S. SUBBA RAO Esq., B. A., M. B. C. M., M. R. C. S., (Eng.) L. R. C. P., D. P. H.,

Senior Surgeon with the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Med. 91-29-9

Sir,

In continuation of this office No.C.2093-29 dated 16-5-1932 I have the honour to forward the enclosed resolution No. 5 dated 21-6-1932 received from the President, District Board, Kolar, agreeing to pay medical contribution for each dispensary at Rs. 1400/-, including Muncipal quota of cost, per annum.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Narimdaya

For Senior Surgeon.
(Absent from station).

PROCEEDINGS OF THE KOLAR DISTRICT BOARD MEETING

HELD ON THE....21st June 1932.....

69

XX XX XX

No. 5. Letter No.C.2903-27 dated 14-4-32 from the Senior Surgeon in Mysore, Bangalore, re: standardisation of medical contribution and stating that Rs.1400/- proposed includes Municipal quota also.

Resolution Approved.

XX XX XX

" EXTRACT "

PRESIDENT,
District Board, Kolar.

D.C. No 1774 87-9-33

Rld 9-9-33

70

Dear Sir,

I am desired to state that the Second Member of the Deputation will be glad to discuss with you the question of Standardisation of contributions payable by local bodies towards the Maintenance of L.D. dispensaries and to request you to kindly make it convenient to meet him in his chambers on ~~Saturday~~ Monday the 11th instant at 3 p.m.

Yours sincerely,
J. 7/9/33

S. Sabha Rao Esq B.A. M.L. Advocate
Sir.

Cyx

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 250

No.

Do.

To

The Sr Surgeon

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Dear Sir,

Please see

~~With reference to the correspondence~~
ending with your Lr. Note
C 2043/34 d 15-9-1932 on the
subject of the Standardisation of
~~Contributions payable from Local~~
Bodies, I am desired to state.
that the 2nd Member ~~Council~~ is
~~discussing about this matter~~ with
you & to request you to kindly
make arrangements in his Chamber at the Public
Office ~~for the purpose~~ at 3 P.M. on the 11th Instant

Yours faithfully

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

the q.n of Standardisation of Contributions payable by the Local Bodies towards the maintenance of L.F. Dispensaries.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

To

Dr. S. Subba Rao.
Sr Surgeon.

Examined by.....

Statement showing the financial position ~~etc~~., of the District Boards of Tumkur, Hassan. Kadur and Chitaldrug according to the Draft Budget Estimates for 1933-34 received from them.

No.	District Board.	Opening balance	Income			Expenditure			Closing balance	Average contribution that is being made by the District Boards on account of the Local Fund Dispensaries	Contribution payable under the Senior Surgeon's proposal
			Normal	Special	Total	Normal	Special	Total.			
	Tumkur	30,687	145035	251245	396280	164522	248498	413020	13,947	19,950	23,800
	Hassan	12,715	155930	28106	184036	152754	42488	195242	1509	27,600	30,650
	Kadur	15,639	96555 (b)	103800 (b)	200350	85030 (b)	115449 (b)	200479	15,510 (b)	~~10,023~~ 10023	14,470
	Chitaldrug	21,571	118110	202780	320890	109717	217574	327291	15,170	11,030	15,000

The figures furnished by the Senior Surgeon in the statement (Flag N) have been adopted and these have been verified and found to agree approximately with the provision made for Local Fund Dispensaries in the District Board Budget.

(a) The closing balance given in the Budget is Rs. 14255.

(b) The figures given in the financial statement are adopted.

98

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 250

Section Med

File No. 91-29

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 28/1/1935.

Signed by .....

Read— G.O. No 6827-47 MO 124-11-17 d 5-4-1923 prescribing the procedure for assessment of Contributions payable by Local Bodies for the upkeep of Local Fund Dispensaries

2. Correspondence ending with Letter No C 2093-31 dated 14-7-1932 from the Govt of Bangalore forwarding for the Order of Govt, the resolutions passed Order thereon.—No. by the several DC Boards in the matter of fixing an annual lumpsum Contribution to be paid by each Local Body in respect of the maintenance charges of the Local Fund Dispensaries within its jurisdiction

3. The above Correspondence ending with the Order Note 105-240 II d 12-6-1934 of the Comptroller on the subject

On a consideration of all the circumstances of the case, Govt are pleased to direct that the existing procedure which has been in vogue for the

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

No. G. 7135-52

regarding the recovery of contributions from local bodies towards the cost of the upkeep of medical institutions within their jurisdiction

that 10 years be continued for

some more time, subject to the modifications following conditions:

With a view to avoid the delay brought to notice, in the existing procedure

Govt direct that the existing procedure may, however, be may be slightly modified as under:—

a) The S.S. shd certainly the demand to the Local Bodies well in time for inclusion in their Budgets & there shd not be wide differences between these figures & those that are worked out in the demand statements, subsequently got up on the basis of the Enacted State Fund Budget and forwarded to the Comptroller for arranging recovery. If (b) If, for any reason, there is a large deviation in excess of the demand from the provision made in the Budget of the Local Bodies, they will be permitted the latter will be admitted to readjust such differences in their accts from the succeeding year's provision

- List of Enclosure

If the above procedure is adopted there will be room for complaints from the Local Bodies that they are asked to pay sums in excess of their Budgeted allotments

c) Govt further direct that once also with to avoid with to instruct the Local Bodies the provision required to be made in the Budget is fixed, it is not open to them to ask for further details as to actuals etc from either the Comptroller or the Sr Surgeon and they shd make arrangements for meeting the demand promptly

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0158—GPB—15,000—13-8-34

Sir Secy

1 See S. S. 21/1/36
2 to Comptroller Madras
3 The D.C. of Gts a. the President of Dt Bd attached the D.S. Cdr. to Dy Bd

(74

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Government order No. 6827-47/Med. 129-28-17 dated the 5th April 1923 prescribing the procedure for adjustment of contributions payable by Local Bodies for the upkeep of Local Fund Dispensaries.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. C.2093-31 dated the 14th July 1932 from the Senior Surgeon forwarding for the orders of Government, resolutions passed by the several District Boards in the matter of fixing an annual lumpsum contribution to be paid by each Local Body in respect of the maintenance charges of the Local Fund Dispensaries within its jurisdiction.

3. Correspondence ending with Unofficial Note 105/TAD. II dated the 12th October 1934 of the Comptroller on the subject.

Order No. G. 7/35-32 Fad 91-29

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd January 1935.

28

On a consideration of all the aspects of the case, Government are pleased to direct that the existing procedure regarding the recovery of contributions from Local Bodies towards the cost of the upkeep of medical institutions within their jurisdiction which has been in vogue for the past 10 years be continued for some more time, subject to the following conditions:-

(a) The Senior Surgeon should intimate the demand to the Local Bodies well in time for inclusion in their Budgets and there should not be wide differences between these figures and those that are worked out in the demand statements, subsequently got up on the basis of the sanctioned State Fund Budget and forwarded to the Comptroller for arranging recovery;

b) If, for any reason, there is a large departure in excess of the demand from the provision made in the Budget of the Local Bodies, they will be permitted to adjust such differences in their accounts from the succeeding years provision.

2. Government also wish to impress on the Local Bodies that the provision required to be made in the Budget is fixed. It is open to them to ask for further details as to actuals &c., from the Comptroller or the Senior Surgeon and they should make efforts for meeting the demand promptly

By Order,

[illegible]
Joint General Secretary to Government.

To

The Senior Surgeon in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government through Financial Secretariat.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Presidents of District Boards through the Dy. Commrs.

S.O.

Government of his Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 7135-52—Med. 91-29, dated 23rd—28th January 1935.

Contribution payable by Local Bodies.

Directs that subject to certain modifications, the existing procedure regarding the recovery of —— towards the cost of the upkeep of Medical Institutions within their jurisdiction be continued for some more time.

READ—

Government Order No. 6827-47—Med. 129-21-17, dated the 5th April 1923, prescribing the procedure for adjustment of contributions payable by Local Bodies for the upkeep of Local Fund Dispensaries.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 2093-31, dated the 14th July 1932, from the Senior Surgeon forwarding for the orders of Government, resolutions passed by the several District Boards in the matter of fixing an annual lumpsum contribution to be paid by each Local Body in respect of the maintenance charges of the Local Fund Dispensaries within its jurisdiction.

3. Correspondence ending with Un-official Note 105—T.A.D II, dated the 12th October 1934 of the Comptroller on the subject.

ORDER No. G. 7135-52--MED. 91-29, DATED BANGALORE, THE 23RD—28TH JANUARY 1935.

On a consideration of all the aspects of the case, Government are pleased to direct that the existing procedure regarding the recovery of contributions from Local Bodies towards the cost of the upkeep of medical institutions within their jurisdiction which has been in vogue for the past ten years be continued for some more time, subject to the following conditions :—

(*a*) The Senior Surgeon should intimate the demand to the Local Bodies well in time for inclusion in their Budgets and there should not be wide differences between these figures and those that are worked out in the demand statements, subsequently got up on the basis of the sanctioned State Fund Budget and forwarded to the Comptroller for arranging recovery ;

(*b*) If, for any reason, there is a large departure in excess of the demand from the provision made in the Budget of the Local Bodies, they will be permitted to adjust such differences in their accounts from the succeeding year's provision.

2. Government also wish to impress on the Local Bodies that once the provision required to be made in the Budget is fixed, it is not open to them to ask for further details as to actuals, etc., from either the Comptroller or the Senior Surgeon, and they should make arrangements for meeting the demand promptly.

S. ABDUL WAJID,
Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To—The Senior Surgeon in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government through the Financial Secretariat.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Presidents of District Boards through the Deputy Commissioners.

Exd.—C. K.

WD 1266—GPB—340—5-2-35

Regular Local Fund Dispensaries in Taluk Municipal or

Yelahanka	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	996	.	.	588			1584			996			
Varthur	Full	.		Full		1232	10	8				1232	10	8	1232	10	8	
Hoskote	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	718	10	8	433	.	.	1151	10	8	718	10	8	
Doddaballapur	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	723	10	8	447	10	8	1171	5	4	723	10	8	
Devanahalli	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	709	5	4	426	10	8	1136			709	5	4	
Vadigenahalli	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	754	10	8	445	5	4	1200			754	10	8	
Magadi	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	697	5	4	417	5	4	1114	10	8	697	5	4	
Kankanhalli	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	865	5	4	525	5	4	1390	10	8	865	5	4	
Nelamangala	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	702	10	8	420	.	.	1122	10	8	702	10	8	
Kayamagondalu	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	694	10	8	421	5	4	1116	.	.	694	10	8	
Closepet	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	901	5	4	429	5	4	1330	10	8	901	5	4	
Anekal	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	755	5	4	446			1201	5	4	755	5	4	
Sarjapur	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	864	10	8	520			1384	10	8	864	10	8	
Solur	Full	.		Full		1138	10	8				1138	10	8	1138	10	8	
Channapatna	2/3	1/3		1/2 Full	1/2	789			473			1262	.	.	789	.		
Total Rs						12544			5993			18537		4	12544			

Reduced Scale Dispensaries

Begur	Full			Full		821						821	.	.	821			
Srirangapatna	Full			Full		821						821	0	.	821			
Total Rs						1642	.					1642			1642			

Regular Local Fund Dispensaries in Taluk Municipal or non

Chintamani	2/3	1/3			..	609	5	4	304	10	8	914	.		609	5	4	
Chickaballapur	2/3	1/3			.	866	10	8	437	5	4	1304	.		866	10	8	
Melbagal	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	809	10	8	450	10	8	1260	5	4	809	10	8	
Madur	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	796	.	.	451	5	4	1247	5	4	796	.	.	
Bowringpet	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	879	.	.	502	13	4	1381	13	4	879	.	.	
Siddalaghatta	2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	867	10	8	494	5	4	1362	.		867	10	8	
Gudibanda	Full			1/2	1/2	1133	.		146			1279	.		1133	.	.	
Bagepalli	Full			1/2	1/2	1096	5	4	140	.		1236	5	4	1096	5	4	
Gowribidanur	Full			1/2	1/2	1062	10	8	132			1194	10	8	1062	10	8	
Srinivasapur	Full			1/2	1/2	1172	5	4	150			1322	5	4	1172	5	4	
Pathapalya	Full			Full		1248	10	8				1248	10	8	1248	10	8	

Non-municipal areas in Bangalore District																		
56	7	1	1052	7	1	588	.	.	38	3	7	626	3	7	1678	10	8	The President has accepted the scheme proposed on the condition that no further liability is imposed on the Board.
85	5	4	1318	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1318	.	.	
43	8	11	762	3	7	433	.	.	28	7	1	461	7	1	1223	10	8	
84	14	3	808	8	11	447	10	8	31	1	9	478	12	5	1287	5	4	
42	14	3	752	3	7	426	10	8	29	1	9	455	12	5	1208	.	.	
49	12	5	806	7	1	445	5	4	31	8	11	476	14	3	1281	5	4	
43	8	11	740	14	3	417	5	4	28	7	1	445	12	5	1186	10	8	
45	8	11	910	14	3	525	5	4	30	7	1	555	12	5	1466	10	8	
40	14	3	743	8	11	420	.	.	27	1	9	447	1	9	1190	10	8	
42	14	3	737	8	11	421	5	4	29	1	9	450	7	1	1188	.	.	
46	3	7	947	8	11	429	5	4	29	12	5	459	1	9	1406	10	8	
49	12	5	805	1	9	446			31	8	11	477	8	11	1282	10	8	
50	8	7	914	14	3	520			35	1	9	555	1	9	1470	.	.	
76	.	.	1214	10	8	.			.	.	.	.	.	.	1214	10	8	
81	8	11	870	8	11	473			31	12	5	504	12	5	1375	5	4	
839			13383	9	1	5993	.	.	401	12	3	6394	12	3	19778	5	4	
80			901												901			
80			901												901			
160			1802	.	.										1802			
Municipal areas in Kolar District																		
25	12	5	635	1	9	304	10	8	12	14	3	317	8	11	952	10	8	The President District Board has agreed to the payment of Rs 1000 in all, towards each Dispensary.
34	10	8	901	5	4	437	5	4	17	5	4	456	10	8	1356	.	.	
43	1	9	852	12	5	450	10	8	30	3	7	480	14	3	1333	10	8	
48	.	.	844	.	.	451	5	4	30	10	8	482	.	.	1326	.	.	
52	10	8	933	10	8	502	13	4	37	5	4	540	2	8	1473	13	4	
51	1	9	918	12	5	494	5	4	35	8	11	529	14	3	1448	10	8	
87	8	4	1190	5	4	146			16	.	.	162	.	.	1352	5	4	
60	.	.	1156	5	4	140			13	5	4	153	5	4	1309	10	8	
57	5	4	1120	.	.	132			16	.	.	148	.	.	1268	.	.	
60	.	.	1232	5	4	150			17	5	4	167	5	4	1399	10	8	
86	10	8	1335	5	4										1335	5	4	
			11122	15	11													

| | | |

72	.	.	1211	5	4															1211	5	4	
650	10	7	12331	5	3	3209	2	8	226	10	9	3435	13	5						15767	2	8	
70	10	8	1126	10	8															1126	10	8	
66	10	8	986	10	8															986	10	8	
66	10	8	986	10	8															986	10	8	
200			3100																	3100			
micipal areas in Dingwall District.																							The Provident District Board has accepted the scheme in its entirety as proposed.
55	1	9	969	1	9	457	.	.	27	8	11	484	8	11						1453	10	8	
55	1	9	899	1	9	422	.	.	27	8	11	449	8	11						1348	10	8	
61	5	4	948	10	8	443	10	8	30	10	8	474	5	4						1423	.	.	
52	7	1	892	7	1	420	.	.	26	3	7	446	3	7						1338	10	8	
52	.	.	881	.	.	500	.	.	34	10	8	534	10	8						1415	10	8	
71	3	10	927	14	6	528	5	4	40	7	8	568	13	.						1496	11	6	
48	14	3	1035	8	11	494	8	.	24	7	1	518	15	1						1554	8	.	
61	5	4	1128	10	8	443	10	8	30	10	8	474	5	4						1603	.	.	
66	10	8	1049	5	4	158	.	.	16	.	.	174	.	.						1223	5	4	
51	4	5	841	9	9	459	.	.	38	6	3	497	6	3						1339	.	.	
66	10	8	1084	10	8	196	10	8	20	.	.	216	10	8						1301	5	4	
49	10	8	887	.	.	279	10	8	15	5	4	295	.	.						1182	.	.	
52	.	.	908	5	4	152	.	.	13	5	4	165	5	4						1073	10	8	
52	.	.	898	5	4	155	5	4	15	5	4	170	10	8						1069	.	.	
86	10	8	1304																	1304	.	.	
65	5	4	1309	5	4															1309	5	4	
69	5	4	1294	5	4															1294	5	4	
63	1	9	1348	7	1	150	10	8	10	3	7	160	14	3						1509	5	4	
58	10	8	1085	5	4	208	5	4	20	.	.	228	5	4						1313	10	8	
85	5	4	1490	5	4															1490	5	4	
68	.	.	1201	5	4															1201	5	4	
77	5	4	1270	5	4															1270	5	4	
77	5	4	1247	.	.															1247	.	.	
64	.	.	1305	5	4															1305	5	4	

Kagawadi			Full			Full			1134						1134			1134	
Nisarni			Full			Full			1439	5	4				1439	5	4	1439	5
Sulkud		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2			753			450			1203			753	
Yelandur			Full			Full			818						818			818	
Hampapura			Full			Full			1349						1349			1349	
Revandur			Full			Full			1110	6					1110	6		1110	6
Total Rs									31298	6		5918	13	4	37217	5	4	31298	
Reduced Scale Dispensary																			
Bilakere			Full			Full			935						935			935	
Regular Local Fund Dispensaries in Sulur Municipal area																			
Sulur		2/3	1/3			Full			895	12	5	272	8	11	1168	5	4	895	12
Belur		2/3	1/3			Full			923	12	5	283	8	11	1207	5	4	923	12
Aravekere		2/3	+ 1/3			Full			850	10	8	269			1119	10	8	850	10
Thammarayapatna		2/3	+ 1/3			Full			849	5	4	271	10	8	1121			849	5
Arakalgud		2/3	+ 1/3			Full			844	5	4	277			1121	5	4	844	5
Honnamur		2/3	1/3			Full			838			279	10	8	1117	10	8	838	
Yelkurpet			Full			Full			1303	8					1303	8		1303	8
Srikrishnarajanagara			Full			Full			1195	12	4				1195	12	4	1195	12
Hanbal			Full			Full			1151	5	4				1151	5	4	1151	5
Arehalli			Full			Full			1079						1079			1079	
Banavar		2/3	1/3			Full			838	5	4	285			1123	5	4	838	5
Halkur			Full			Full			1127	10	8				1127	10	8	1127	10
Hirisave			1/2			1/2			536						536			536	
Sravanabelagola			Full			Full			1116	10	8				1116	10	8	1116	10
Belagola			Full			Full			184	10	8				1184	10	8	1184	10
Holenarsipur		2/3	1/3			Full			785	5	4	261			1046	5	4	785	5
Sakleshpur		2/3	1/3			Full			1120	14	3	377	7	1	1498	5	4	1120	14
Rayerkoppal			Full			Full			1294	2	8				1294	2	8	1294	2
Nagenahalli			Full			Full			1244						1244			1244	
Kundur			Full			Full			1225						1225			1225	
Total Rs									20424	3	5	2576	14	3	23001	1	8	20424	3
Reduced Scale Dispensaries																			

| | | |

| | | |

| | | |

Shiralkoppa		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	837	5	4	498	8	.	1335	13	4	837	5	4
Honnali		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	771	5	4	473	8	.	1244	13	4	771	5	4
Channagiri		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	657	9	4	394	1	4	1051	10	8	657	9	4
Honnalli		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	733	4	.	440	12	.	1174	.	.	733	4	.
Nagamati		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	664	14	8	407	1	4	1072	.	.	664	14	8
Shikarpur		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	804	13	4	475	5	4	1280	2	8	804	13	4
Sagar		2/3	1/3				824	.	.	385	.	.	1209	.	.	824	.	.
Thirthahalli		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	1052	10	8	610	8	.	1663	2	8	1052	10	8
Sorab		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	833	8	.	497	13	4	1331	5	4	833	8	.
Hosanagara		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	804	5	4	487	13	4	1292	2	8	804	5	4
Total Rs							18409	9	4	5230	4	.	23639	13	4	18409	9	4
Regular Local Fund Dispensaries in Taluk Municipal or																		
Melkalmuru		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	601	.	.	393	10	8	994	10	8	601	.	.
Challakere		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	683	5	4	453	5	4	1136	10	8	683	5	4
Hiriyur		Full			1/2	1/2	813	8	.	147	.	.	960	8	.	813	8	.
Hosadurga		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	606	10	8	403	5	4	1010	.	.	606	10	8
Holalkere		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	663			415	10	8	1078	10	8	663		.
Davangere		2/3	1/3				526	.	.	288			814	.	.	526	.	.
Harihara		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	652	5	4	446			1098	5	4	652	5	4
Malebennur		Full	-		Full		1048	5	4	.	.	.	1048	5	4	1048	5	4
Jagalur		2/3	1/3		1/2	1/2	567	10	8	387	10	8	955	5	4	567	10	8
Brahmasagara		Full	.		Full	-	989	10	8	.	-	-	989	10	8	989	10	8
Nayakanahatti		2/3	1/3		2/3	1/3	610	5	4	342	10	8	953	.	.	610	5	4
Total Rs		-	-	-	-	-	7761	13	4	3277	5	4	11039	2	8	7761	13	4
Reduced Scale Dispensaries																		
Turuvanur		Full		-	Full	-	825	10	8				825	10	8	825	10	8
Parasurampura		Full		-	Full	-	790	10	8				790	10	8	790	10	8
Rampura		Full		-	Full	-	766	10	8				766	10	8	766	10	8
Belagur		Full		-	Full	-	891	8	.				891	8	.	891	8	.
Total Rs							3274	8	.				3274	8	.	3274	8	.
Regular Local Fund Dispensaries in Taluk Municip																		
Tiptur		-	2/3	1/3	-	2/3	1/3	894	.		439	.	.	1233	.	.	894	

50	3	7	887	8	11	498	8	.	33	12	5	532	4	5	1419	13	4						
44	14	3	816	3	7	473	8	.	31	1	9	504	9	9	1320	13	4						
42	10	8	700	4	.	394	1	4	28	.	.	422	1	4	1122	5	4						
50	14	3	784	2	3	440	12	.	33	1	9	473	13	9	1258	.	.						
42	.	.	706	14	8	407	1	4	28	10	8	435	12	.	1142	10	.						
48	3	7	853	.	11	475	5	4	31	12	5	507	1	9	1360	2	8						
41	12	5	865	12	5	385	.	.	20	14	3	405	14	3	1271	10	8						
57	5	4	1110	.	.	610	8	.	37	5	4	647	13	4	1757	13	4						
48	3	7	881	11	7	497	13	4	31	12	5	529	9	9	1411	5	4						
45	8	11	849	14	3	487	13	4	30	7	1	518	4	5	1368	2	8						
1040	3	8	19449	13	.	5230	4	.	338	7	.	5568	11	.	25018	8	.						

non-municipal areas in Chittal doorg District.

42	.	.	643	.	.	393	10	8	28	10	8	422	5	4	1065	5	4						
56	7	1	739	12	5	453	5	4	38	3	7	491	8	11	1231	5	4						
52	.	.	865	8	.	147	.	.	13	5	4	160	5	4	1025	13	4						
78	7	1	653	1	9	403	5	4	32	14	3	436	3	7	1091	5	4						
49	12	5	712	12	5	415	10	8	31	8	11	447	3	7	1160	.	.						
34	10	8	560	10	8	288	.	.	17	5	4	305	5	4	866	.	.						
52	10	8	705	.	.	446	.	.	34	.	.	480	.	.	1185	.	.						
78	10	8	1127	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1127	.	.						
39	1	9	606	12	5	387	10	8	26	3	7	413	14	3	1020	10	8						
77	5	4	1067	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1067	.	.						
42	10	8	653	.	.	342	10	8	21	5	4	364	.	.	1017	.	.						
573	12	4	8335	9	8	3277	5	4	243	9	.	3520	14	4	11856	8	.						

The President states that the Standing Committee cannot recommend the proposal in as much as it involves an additional expenditure to the extent of Rs 2000 every year.

70	10	8	906	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	906	10	8						
70	10	8	906	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	906	10	8						
66	10	8	866	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	866	10	8						
66	10	8	986	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	986	10	8						
274	10	8	3666	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3666	10	8						

1553 - 2 - 8

or non-municipal areas in Purnea District

53	12	5	949	12	5	439	.	.	27	14	3	466	14	3	1416	10	8						

The President states that as a

| | | |

			006	12	5	399	8	.	28	14	3	428	6	3	1285	2	8	a matter of
55	1	9	895	7	1	420	2	8	27	8	11	447	11	7	1343	2	8	permanent
53	1	9	852	12	5	390	13	4	27	8	11	418	6	3	1271	2	8	standardisation
48	14	3	809	3	7	380	2	8	24	7	1	404	9	9	1213	13	4	the Contribution
64	.	.	949	10	8	442	13	4	32	.	.	474	13	4	1424	8	.	may be fixed
69	5	4	1121	5	4										1121	5	4	at Rs 13000 a year
69	5	4	1078	.	.										1078	.	.	and in order to
77	5	4	1210	5	4										1210	5	4	enable the
82	10	8	1138	5	4										1138	5	4	Department
69	5	4	994	.	.										994	.	.	to accept the
69	5	4	1076	10	8										1076	10	8	reduced Lump
82	10	8	1224	.	.										1224	.	.	sum grant to
69	5	4	1174	10	8										1174	10	8	the Department
69	5	4	1054	5	4										1054	5	4	may be asked
69	5	4	1227	.	.										1227	.	.	to retrench the
69	5	4	1102	10	8										1102	10	8	Post of Watchman
72	3	7	1182	14	3										1182	14	3	in all the
69	5	4	1228	13	4										1228	13	4	Dispensaries.
73	5	4	1174	.	.										1174	.	.	
1348	14	2	21300	11	6	2472	8	.	168	5	5	2640	13	5	23941	8	11	

S. Subba Rao

KSR